

Ţ

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/780,222	0/780,222 02/09/2001		Andrew M. Schwarzbauer	38916/24384	5359
21888	7590	04/21/2004		EXAM	INER
THOMPSO		•	HENDERSO	N, MARK T	
ONE US BA		ZA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST LOUIS,	MO 6310	01	3722	23	

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•					
. Office Action Summary	09/780,222	SCHWARZBAUER ET AL.			
Office Action Guillinary	Examin r	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communica	Mark T Henderson	ith the correspondence address			
Period for Reply	adon appears on the cover sheet w	m the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutes are reply in the set or extended period for reply will any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>29 <i>January</i> 2004</u> .				
·)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 3,4,6,8-14 and 24-38 is/are positive 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 3,4,6,8-14 and 24-38 is/are reference 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	·	•			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in A the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
Auralmanu					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview 5	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC)-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	O/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of I 6) ☐ Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

;

Application/Control Number: 09/780,222 Page 2

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Faxing of Responses to Office Actions

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, TC 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703)872-9302 (Official) and (703)872-9303 (for After Finals). This practice may be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into TC 3700 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the featured limitation of a "the base paper layer bottom surface within the periphery of the base paper layer", as stated in Claim 10, line 9 and 10, and "wherein the breakaway layer is ...applied in a pattern" as stated in line 21 must be shown or canceled from the claim. Furthermore, in Claim 11, the limitation of "an area

Page 3 Application/Control Number: 09/780,222

Art Unit: 3722

adjacent the periphery of the film layer receives a polymer.." must be shown or canceled form the

claim

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office

action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in

abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode

contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 11 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject

matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one

skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession

of the claimed invention. The specification or drawings do not disclose "an area adjacent the

periphery of the primary film layer receives a polymer..". Applicant must show where in the

drawings and in the specification the specific location of the polymer as stated in the claims,

without adding new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 3, 4, 6, 8-14 and 24-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischer (6,328,340) in view of Matsuguchi et al (EP-426,863).

Fischer discloses in Fig. 3a and 3b, a form with an integrated card comprising: a primary film (21) having top and bottom surfaces and a periphery; a breakaway layer (22) constructed of translucent urethane acrylic, and capable of accepting printed indicia (Col. 5, lines 20-25) and disposed between the primary layer (21, wherein the breakaway layer is adhesively coated (24) on top) and a secondary layer (28); a die-cuts (31); and a base paper layer (1) having top and bottom surfaces; an adhesive layer (24) that secures (however, not directly) the base paper layer (1) to the breakaway layer (22); and an information card comprised of the die-cut base paper (1), adhesive layer (24), and the breakaway layer (22); and wherein the breakaway layer (22) has a greater affinity for the adhesive layer (24) than the top surface of the primary film layer (21) such that when the card is removed, the breakaway layer stays adhered to the bottom surface of the

Application/Control Number: 09/780,222

Art Unit: 3722

adhesive layer (24); and wherein the primary film layer also has predetermined areas (area in which the information card is pulled upon) of greater and lesser affinity for the break away layer.

However, Fischer does not disclose: a breakaway layer composed of a material having release levels that vary in a predetermined pattern; a lower release level adjacent the periphery of the primary film layer.

Matsuguchi et al discloses in Fig. 13A-14B, a form with an integrated card comprising a breakaway layer (which consist of two components 22 and 24) having release levels with respect to the primary film layer (12) that vary in a predetermined pattern (Col. 14, lines 5-44, wherein the release levels have a greater affinity for the adhesive layer (20) at areas without breakaway layer component (24) and have less affinity for the adhesive layer (20) at areas which have the breakaway layer polymer component (24)); wherein the breakaway layer is composed of a material (22) that varies in thickness at different points along and across the primary film layer (12); wherein the die-cuts (26a and 26b) fall within an area having lower release levels of the breakaway layer (Fig. 14b); and further wherein the area of greater affinity is adjacent or spaced from the periphery of the primary film layer (as seen in Fig. 13b).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fischer's form with a breakaway pattern having varied release levels with respect to the primary film layer that vary in a predetermined pattern as taught by Matsuguchi et al for the purpose of allowing the integrated card to be peeled off from the form relatively easily.

Application/Control Number: 09/780,222 Page 6

Art Unit: 3722

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3, 4, 6, 8-14 and 24-38 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Matsuguchi et al is now relied upon for disclosing a breakaway layer having release levels that vary at predetermined patterns.

Prior Art References

The prior art references listed in the attached PTO-892, but not used in a rejection of the claims, are cited for (their/its) structure. Roth et al, Walz, and Fisher (WO-'532) disclose forms having varying degrees of adhesion and release levels.

Application/Control Number: 09/780,222 Page 7

Art Unit: 3722

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark T. Henderson whose telephone number is (703)305-0189. The examiner can be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:30 AM to 3:45 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner supervisor, A. L. Wellington, can be reached on (703) 308-2159. The fax number for TC 3700 is (703)-872-9302. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 3700 receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148.

MTH

April 14, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700